



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/913,686	01/24/2002	Niels Rump	SCHO0093	3745	
759	90 01/30/2006		EXAMINER		
GLENN PATENT GROUP			HENNING, M	HENNING, MATTHEW T	
3475 Edison Wa	ay			<u> </u>	
Suite L			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Menlo Park, CA	A 94025		2131		
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The state of the s	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/913,686	RUMP ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Matthew T. Henning	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	Iress		
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in composition of time periods:</li> </ol>	on the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid at affidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the statutory period for reply expires</li></ul>	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F f).	IRST REPLY WAS FILE			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montle earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. tatutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS	The state of the s		(-).		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			g the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .  Claim(s) objected to: 1-16.		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	the first of the second	NI-4'			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			ance because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	NO(S)			
13. Other:  Other:  Pomary Examiner  ADZI31					
	Por	nony Examin			
	Ay	213)1			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Matthew Henning Art Unit 2131

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations defining the contents of the two sections of the payload data have not been considered previously and therefore raise new issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the sections of the payload data including audio, video, text, or binary executable) are not recited in the rejected claim(s), but rather are recited in the proposed amendments which have not been entered. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Therefore, the examiner has maintained the rejections set forth in the office action dated 11/3/2005..

2